

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,999	01/15/2002	Saul E. Greenhut	7163-37	5738	
21324 75	590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
HAHN LOES	ER & PARKS, LLP		BOCKELMAN, MARK		
One GOJO Plaz	za				
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AKRON, OH	44311-1076		3762		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/047,999	GREENHUT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark W Bockelman	3762			
The MAILING I	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the second	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. ied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply cified above, the maximum statutory period wet or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(3 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED and the of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 January 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is F	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this appli	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accor	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,14-18 and 26-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the abov	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.					
·						
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s)) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may no	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)			Α			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) -15- 2002.		ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 1-15-2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kleks et al. USPN 5,417,718. Kleks teaches a method in which an evoked response is detected and compared to a polarization template, with a matched evoked signal indicating a lack of success, and a prescribed difference indicating a capture success. See column 14 lines 19-27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleks et al. USPN 5,417,718. While applicant teaches the detection and comaparision of negative signals, Kleks evaluates the amplitude of evoked signals by using a threshold value to detected the evoked signal. Switching the polarity of the sensing elements and threshold value would not constitute and unobvious difference since the same result would be obtained.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-6, 8, 16-18, 26-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8, 14-18, 26-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272 -4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWB

May 1, 2005